Serial No.: 10/003,509 Attorney Docket No.: 100110598-1

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated March 2, 2006, claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 41 have been amended. Claims 1-50 are in the case. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are requested.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-50 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,410) in view of Brouk et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0053459) in further view of Stuart (U.S. Patent No. 6,466,935).

The Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection based on the current amendments to the claims and the arguments below.

The Applicants' claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 41 now include determining the missing required fonts related to the errors messages, listing the required missing fonts, graphically indicating the missing fonts related to the error messages <u>and facilitating user uploading and adding of the missing fonts</u>. Support for this new feature can be found in paragraph [0026] of the Applicants' disclosure, which states that if there are missing fonts, the user "...is instructed to select to upload the missing font files, step 250. The application receives the missing font files and adds them to the existing PDF file, step 255." (see U.S. Patent Publication No. 20030061357).

In contrast, Kikinis in combination with Brouk et al. and Stuart do <u>not</u> disclose all of these features. For example, Kikinis in combination with Brouk et al. merely disclose "...a session script between hand-held computer 13, Proxy-Server 19, and any WEB server 23...and...the NanoBrowser incorporated in computer unit 13, and the InterBrowser, incorporated in Proxy-Server 19..." (see col. 9, lines 65-67 through col. 10, lines 1-10 and FIGS. 3-4 of Kikinis) and a system "...for enabling the interchange of enterprise data through an open platform...based on a standardized interface that enables parties to easily connect to and use the network." (see Abstract of Brouk et al.).

Although Kikinis in combination with Brouk et al. and Stuart disclose "a production printing and mailing operation" that transforms a piece "from a print file to printed media in an envelope ready for mailing" with a "Composition Error Box" that simply refers failed jobs due to missing fonts (see Abstract, FIG. 5., and col. 9, lines 53-63 of Stuart), the combination is still missing the Applicants' claimed determining the

818-885-5750

Scrial No.: 10/003,509 Attorney Docket No.: 100110598-1

missing required fonts related to the errors, listing the required missing fonts, graphically indicating the missing fonts related to the errors and facilitating user uploading and adding of the missing fonts. Instead, Stuart simply uses a "Composition Error box" that "refers to jobs that failed that Composition Manager process (e.g. missing font or other resource)." Consequently, the combination does <u>not</u> determine, list, and facilitate uploading and adding of the missing required fonts, like the Applicants' claimed invention.

This **failure** of the combined cited references to <u>disclose</u>, <u>suggest or provide</u> <u>motivation</u> for the Applicants' claimed invention indicates a lack of a prima facie case of obviousness. <u>W.L. Gore& Assocs. V. Garlock, Inc.</u>, 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983). <u>In re Gordon</u>, 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Accordingly, the combined cited references cannot render the Applicants' invention obvious.

Thus, it is respectfully requested that all of the claims be allowed based on the amendments and arguments. Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. Additionally, in an effort to further the prosecution of the subject application, the Applicants kindly <u>request</u> the Examiner to telephone the Applicants' attorney at **(818) 885-1575**.

Please note that all mail correspondence should continue to be directed to Hewlett Packard Company

Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

Respectfully submitted, Dated: June 2, 2006

Edmond A. DeFrank Reg. No. 37,814

Attorney for Applicants

(818) 885-1575 TEL (818) 885-5750 FAX